UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

	,	2008 FEB 20 AM 10: 05	
ТО	TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Haves, us by the control of California.		
FR	OM: R. Mullin,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 2/11/2008	
CASE NO.: 08cv0040 WOH (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner DEPUTY			
CASE TITLE: _Dixon v. Hernandez			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Firs Amended Petition			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X		OTHER: No original signature.	
Date forwarded: 2/12/2008			
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T/IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
XV	The document is to	o be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.		
	Rejected documen	at to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: White the Local Rule and the penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1		
	Dated: 4219 cc: All Parties	By: Jul Brose	